7/25/71

"Pedro Charles"; Frameup, Evidence of; Os as Agt. CD320,SS705,703;SC349,SS774,782
PH and JWS only,

As I wrote earlier, in trying to get the follow on the Hudkins report from the SS, Kelley agve me the two control numbers and I checked them at the Arch, where I found they are not a followup but on a different matter, an alleged plot to kill Castro of which LHO allegedly heard in Mexico, "which infuriated" him and thus lays the motive for his crime.

My earlier work in these CDs, if any, and I think there was and I think there wasn't, would not be carded, so I don't know if I have the other reports in these two CDs. I will be going back to the Arch and I will then check these two files. As of now I do not want to take the time of making copies, which cost me about the same (plus the time) as getting them at the A., so let me know right away if you want copies and I'll get you better ones at the A when I am there, plus any others I find when I check the full files, both listed as with controls only, and those declassified I presume we now have anyway, as I shall try to remember to check first.

I have sent you my earlier Pedro Charles stuff, represented as all by Johnson.

Somebody went out of his way to break this up between the two different CDs.

Now these two Pedro Charles letters, about him, are dated the same date as the one written Os, as I recall that date, 11/27/63, which is so obvious in causing suspicion that one wonders why neither agency investigated that alone, as by checking the evvelopes, which are entirely consistent, the typing, apparently identical, etc. Although both have the same 11/27 date, they are both postmarked at the identical time in the case of these two, /13/2, 6 p.m./ Among the onvious suggestions is that someone thought the US response to what that terrible red did was not vigorous enough. This is the Bringuier mentality, not really that of a CIA directive which, among other things, would have been more rapid and more sophisticated, but it can't be dismissed, either, for it was an open invitation to retaliation, and effort to inspire it.

It is of some interest that this kind of stuff was fed to Hudkins, and also of interest that the Washington Bureau man, Felton West, said there was nothing to it. I can't imagine Hudkins making up a story that he knew would fall apart, so I have to wonder about West, who spoke to him, etc. If Hudkins referred to West, it is possible the story originated with West, who was under wraps from his source, the Indispensible being one of the more likely, and esp because of the earlier reports in that papers point to the Saint.

Meanwhile, I'd appreciate promptest response to questions, which of the docs in these CDs and which relevant ones from others do you have, plus do you want me to get any you do not when I'm there?

I will treat this in AO, but I've laid that aside for other writing for which I'm trying to clear things up.

HW